Pre-study of the impact of implementing the EN in PEPPOL

MEETING MINUTES FROM ONE OF THE FOUR GROUPS
DISCUSSION 1

– Early decide on an end date for version 2.0 e.g. 2020-01-01
  • Sven thinks it is a little bit to early
– Mandatory use EN 2019-01-01 (under the assumption specification ready by 2018-01-01)
– Best case scenario EN optional 2018-01-01
  • New users during 2018 (according to recommended) are aloud to only support EN
  • Thomas Nakken: do not believe almost any will support 3.0 before it is mandatory.
– (INPUT: update TIA so SMP:s are obliged to follow e.g. deprecations, etc)
– Channel to reach all participants are through service providers
DISCUSSION 2

– Do we really need both BIS 4A and 5A
  • Take away BIS 4A (stand alone invoice)
  • Only publishing version 5a
– Can we improve on documentation
  • Refer to the EN minimizing documentation
  • Schematron and code lists (open ended) are important topics
    ◦ Review if it is nessesary to make restrictions
– Use cases, examples:
  • Create examples for different use cases e.g. factoring, financial services, insurance, telecom, energy, transportation of gods, postal services, renting services
DISCUSSION 2 - continued

– Stylesheets for presentation:
  • 2 stylesheets – one simple and one complete
– Stylesheets for transformation mainly from CII to UBL
  • Important to have this.
– Implementation forum? (Important success factor)
  • Confluence are limited to PEPPOL members!?
  • Linkedin can be helpful
  • JIRA (FAQ generation)
  • PEPPOL.EU
  • Related to having a support team and taking a full grip of this challenge